arXiv:1207.6450v1 [math.DG] 27 Jul 2012

ESTIMATES FOR EIGENVALUES OF THE PANEITZ OPERATOR*

QING-MING CHENG

Abstract. For an n-dimensional compact submanifold M^n in the Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^N$, we study estimates for eigenvalues of the Paneitz operator on M^n. Our estimates for eigenvalues are sharp.

1. Introduction

For compact Riemann surfaces M^2, Li and Yau [13] introduced the notion of conformal volume, which is a global invariant of the conformal structure. They determined the conformal volume for a large class of Riemann surfaces, which admit minimal immersions into spheres. In particular, they proved that for a compact Riemann surface M^2, if there exists a conformal map from M^2 into the unit sphere $S^N(1)$, then the first eigenvalue λ_1 of the Laplacian satisfies

$$\lambda_1 \mathrm{vol}(M^2) \le 2V_c(N, M^2)$$

and the equality holds only if M^2 is a minimal surface in $S^N(1)$, where $V_c(N, M^2)$ is the conformal volume of M^2.

For 4-dimensional compact Riemannian manifolds, Paneitz [15] introduced a fourth order operator P_g defined by, letting div be the divergence for the metric g,

$$P_g f = \Delta^2 f - \mathrm{div}\big[(\frac{2}{3}Rg - 2\mathrm{Ric})\nabla f\big], \tag{1.1}$$

for smooth functions f on M^4, where Δ and ∇ denote the Laplacian and the gradient operator with respect to the metric g on M^4, respectively, and R and Ric are the scalar curvature and Ricci curvature tensor with respect to the metric g on M^4. Furthermore, Branson [1] has generalized the Paneitz operator to an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold. For an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M^n, g), the operator P_g is defined by

$$P_g f = \Delta^2 f - \mathrm{div}\big[(a_n Rg + b_n \mathrm{Ric})\nabla f\big] + \frac{n-4}{2} Q f, \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$Q = c_n|\mathrm{Ric}|^2 + d_n - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}\Delta R$$

2001 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 53C40, 58C40.

Key words and phrases: A Paneitz operator, Q-curvature, eigenvalues, the first eigenfunction

* Research partially Supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) No. 24340013.

is called Q-curvature with respect to the metric g,

$$a_n = \frac{(n-2)^2+4}{2(n-1)(n-2)}, \quad b_n = -\frac{4}{n-2},$$

$$c_n = -\frac{2}{(n-2)^2}, \quad d_n = \frac{n(n-2)^2-16}{8(n-1)^2(n-2)^2}.$$

This operator P_g is also called Paneitz operator or Branson-Paneitz operator. It is known that Paneitz operator is conformally invariant of bi-degree $(\frac{n-4}{2}, \frac{n+4}{2})$, that is, under conformal transformation of Riemannian metric $g = e^{2w}g_0$, the Paneitz operator P_g changes into

$$P_g f = e^{-\frac{n+4}{2}w} P_{g_0}(e^{\frac{n-4}{2}w} f). \tag{1.3}$$

Let $\mathfrak{M}(M^n)$ be the set of Riemannian metrics on M^n. For each $g \in \mathfrak{M}(M^n)$, the total Q-curvature for g is defined by

$$Q[g] = \int_{M^n} Q dv.$$

When $n = 4$, from the Gauss-Bonnet theorem for dimension 4, we have

$$Q[g] = -\frac{1}{4}\int_{M^4} |W|^2 dv + 8\pi^2 \chi(M^4), \tag{1.4}$$

where W is the Weyl conformal curvature tensor and $\chi(M^4)$ is the Euler characteristic of M^4. Hence, we know that the total Q-curvature is a conformal invariant for dimension 4. In [14], Nishikawa has studied the variation of the total Q-curvature for a general dimension n. He has proved that a Riemannian metric g on an $n(n \neq 4)$-dimensional compact manifold M^n is a critical point of the total Q-curvature functional with respect to a volume preserving conformal variation of the metric g, if and only if the Q-curvature with respect to the metric g is constant.

Since the Paneitz operator P_g is an elliptic operator and $P_g 1 = 0$ for $n = 4$, we know that $\lambda_0 = 0$ is an eigenvalue of P_g. Gursky [10] shown that if the Yamabe invariant of M^4 is positive and the total Q-curvature is positive, the first eigenvalue λ_1 is positive. For $n \geq 6$, Yang and Xu [16] have proved the Paneitz operator P_g is positive if the scalar curvature is positive and Q-curvature is nonnegative. Furthermore, see [2, 4, 6, 12].

For $n \geq 3$, we consider the following closed eigenvalue problem on an n-dimensional compact manifold M^n:

$$P_g u = \lambda u. \tag{1.5}$$

Since P_g is an elliptic operator, the spectrum of P_g on M^n is discrete. We assume

$$0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \cdots, \lambda_k \leq \cdots \to +\infty$$

for $n \neq 4$ and for $n = 4$,

$$0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots, \lambda_k \leq \cdots \to +\infty.$$

When $n = 4$, Yang and Xu [17] have introduced an N-conformal energy $E_c(N, M^4)$ if M^4 can be conformally immersed into the unit sphere $S^N(1)$ and have obtained an upper bound for the first eigenvalue λ_1:

$$\lambda_1 \mathrm{vol}(M^4) \leq E_c(N, M^4),$$

where $\mathrm{vol}(M^n)$ denotes the volume of M^n. Furthermore, Chen and Li [8] have also studied the upper bound on the first eigenvalue λ_1 when M^4 is considered as a compact submanifold in a Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^N$. They have proved

$$\lambda_1 \leq \frac{\int_{M^4} \big(16|H|^2 + \frac{2}{3}R\big) dv \int_{M^4} |H|^2 dv}{\big\{\mathrm{vol}(M^4)\big\}^2}$$

and the equality holds if and only if M^4 is a minimal submanifold in a sphere $S^{N-1}(r)$ for $N > 5$ and M^4 is a round sphere $S^4(r)$ for $N = 5$. In [9], the second eigenvalue λ_2 of the Paneitz operator P_g is studied. By making use of the conformal transformation introduced by Li and Yau [13], Chen and Li proved, for $n \geq 7$,

$$\lambda_2 \mathrm{vol}(M^n) \leq \frac{1}{2} n(n^2 - 4) \int_{M^n} |H|^4 dv + \frac{n-4}{2} \int_{M^n} Q dv$$

if M^n is a compact submanifold in the Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^N$. Here $|H|$ denotes the mean curvature of M^n in $\mathbf{R}^N$. As they remarked, their method does not work for $3 \leq n \leq 6$.

The purpose of this paper is to study eigenvalues of the Paneitz operator P_g in n-dimensional compact Riemannian manifolds. Our method is very different from one used by Chen and Li [9] and Xu and Yang [17]. From Nash's theorem, we know that each compact Riemannian manifold can be isometrically immersed into a Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^N$. Thus, we can assume M^n is an n-dimensional compact submanifold in $\mathbf{R}^N$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional compact submanifold with the metric g in $\mathbf{R}^N$. Then, eigenvalues of the Paneitz operator P_g satisfy*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{4} \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 4 \frac{\sqrt{\int_{M^4} \big(16|H|^2 + \frac{2}{3}R\big) dv \int_{M^4} |H|^2 dv}}{\mathrm{vol}(M^4)}$$

and the equality holds if and only if M^4 is a round sphere $S^4(r)$ for $N = 5$ and M^4 is a compact minimal submanifold with constant scalar curvature in $S^{N-1}(r)$ for $N > 5$.

Corollary 1.1. *Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional compact submanifold with the metric g in the unit sphere $S^N(1)$. Then, eigenvalues of the Paneitz operator P_g satisfy*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{4} \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 4 \frac{\sqrt{\int_{M^4} \big(16|H|^2 + 16 + \frac{2}{3}R\big) dv \int_{M^4} (|H|^2 + 1) dv}}{\mathrm{vol}(M^4)}$$

and the equality holds if and only if M^4 is a compact minimal submanifold with constant scalar curvature in $S^N(1)$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (M^n, g) $(n > 4)$ be an n-dimensional compact submanifold with the metric g in $\mathbf{R}^N$. Then, eigenvalues of the Paneitz operator P_g satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j=1}^{n}(\lambda_{j+1}-\lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\leq \sqrt{\int_{M^n}\frac{n(n^2-4)|H|^2}{2}u_1^2dv+2(n+2)\int_{M^n}g(\nabla u_1,\nabla u_1)dv}\\ &\times\sqrt{\int_{M^n}n^2|H|^2u_1^2dv+4\int_{M^n}g(\nabla u_1,\nabla u_1)dv}\end{aligned}$$

and the equality holds if and only if M^n is isometric to a sphere $S^n(r)$, where u_1 is the normalized first eigenfunction of P_g.

Remark 1.1. *In our theorem 1.2, we do not need to assume the positivity of the Paneitz operaator P_g.*

If the Paneitz operator P_g is a positive operator, we have

Theorem 1.3. *Let (M^n, g) $(n \neq 4)$ be an n-dimensional compact submanifold with the metric g in the unit sphere $S^N(1)$. Then, eigenvalues of the Paneitz operator P_g satisfy*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n}\lambda_j^{\frac{1}{2}} < n\frac{\sqrt{\int_{M^n}\big((n^2|H|^2+n^2)+(na_n+b_n)R+\frac{n-4}{2}Q\big)dv\int_{M^n}(|H|^2+1)dv}}{\mathrm{vol}(M^n)}.$$

2. EIGENVALUES OF THE PANEITZ OPERATOR ON M^4

Since M^n is an n-dimensional submanifold in $\mathbf{R}^N$. Let $(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ be a local coordinate system in a neighborhood U of $p \in M^n$. Let $\mathbf{y}$ be the position vector of p in $\mathbf{R}^N$, which is defined by

$$\mathbf{y} = (y_1(x_1, \cdots, x_n), \cdots, y_N(x_1, \cdots, x_n)).$$

Let g denote the induced metric of M^n from $\mathbf{R}^N$ and $<,>$ is the standard inner product in $\mathbf{R}^N$. Thus, we have

Lemma 2.1. *For any function $u \in C^\infty(M^n)$, we have*

$$g_{ij} = g(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}) =< \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \frac{\partial y_\alpha}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_\alpha}, \sum_{\beta=1}^N \frac{\partial y_\beta}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_\beta} >= \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \frac{\partial y_\alpha}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial y_\alpha}{\partial x^j},$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\alpha=1}^N (g(\nabla y_\alpha, \nabla u))^2 = |\nabla u|^2, \\ &\sum_{\alpha=1}^N g(\nabla y_\alpha, \nabla y_\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N |\nabla y_\alpha|^2 = n, \\ &\sum_{\alpha=1}^N (\Delta y_\alpha)^2 = n^2|H|^2, \\ &\sum_{\alpha=1}^N \Delta y_\alpha \nabla y_\alpha = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where ∇ denotes the gradient operator on M^n and $|H|$ is the mean curvature of M^n.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let u_i be an eigenfunction corresponding to eigenvalue λ_i such that $\{u_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ becomes an orthonormal basis of $L^2(M^n)$, that is,

$$\begin{cases} P_g u_i = \lambda_i u_i, \\ \int_{M^4} u_i u_j dv = \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 0, 1, \cdots. \end{cases}$$

We define an $N \times N$-matrix A as follows:

$$A := (a_{\alpha\beta})$$

where $a_{\alpha\beta} = \int_{M^4} y_\alpha u_0 u_\beta dv$, for $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \cdots, N$, and $\mathbf{y} = (y_\alpha)$ is the position vector of the immersion in $\mathbf{R}^N$. Using the orthogonalization of Gram and Schmidt, we know that there exist an upper triangle matrix $T = (T_{\alpha\beta})$ and an orthogonal matrix $U = (q_{\alpha\beta})$ such that $T = UA$, i.e.,

$$T_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{\gamma=1}^N q_{\alpha\gamma} a_{\gamma\beta} = \int_{M^4} \sum_{\gamma=1}^N q_{\alpha\gamma} y_\gamma u_0 u_\beta dv = 0, \quad 1 \le \beta < \alpha \le N.$$

Defining $z_\alpha = \sum_{\gamma=1}^N q_{\alpha\gamma} y_\gamma$, we get

$$\int_{M^4} z_\alpha u_0 u_\beta dv = \int_{M^4} \sum_{\gamma=1}^N q_{\alpha\gamma} y_\gamma u_0 u_\beta dv = 0, \quad 1 \le \beta < \alpha \le N.$$

Putting

$$\psi_\alpha := (z_\alpha - b_\alpha) u_0, \qquad b_\alpha := \int_{M4} z_\alpha u_0^2 dv, \qquad 1 \le \alpha \le N,$$

we infer

$$\int_{M^4} \psi_\alpha u_\beta dv = 0, \qquad 0 \le \beta < \alpha \le N.$$

Thus, from the Rayleigh-Ritz inequality, we have

$$\lambda_\alpha\int_{M^4}\psi_\alpha^2 dv\le\int_{M^4}\psi_\alpha P_g\psi_\alpha dv,\quad 1\le\alpha\le N.$$

Since u_0 is constant and

$$P_g\psi_\alpha=\Delta^2(z_\alpha u_0)-\operatorname{div}\big[(\frac{2}{3}Rg-2\mathrm{Ric})\nabla(z_\alpha u_0)\big],\tag{2.2}$$

according to the Stokes formula, we derive

$$\int_{M^4}\psi_\alpha P_g\psi_\alpha dv=\int_{M^4}\Big[\big(\Delta z_\alpha\big)^2u_0^2+g((\frac{2}{3}Rg-2\mathrm{Ric})\nabla z_\alpha,\nabla z_\alpha)u_0^2\Big]dv.$$

From the lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\alpha=1}^N\int_{M^4}\psi_\alpha P_g\psi_\alpha dv\\&=\sum_{\alpha=1}^N\int_{M^4}\Big[\big(\Delta z_\alpha\big)^2u_0^2+g((\frac{2}{3}Rg-2\mathrm{Ric})\nabla z_\alpha,\nabla z_\alpha)u_0^2\Big]dv\\&=\int_{M^4}\big(16|H|^2+\frac{2}{3}R\big)u_0^2dv.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^N\lambda_\alpha\int_{M^4}\psi_\alpha^2dv\le\int_{M^4}\big(16|H|^2+\frac{2}{3}R\big)u_0^2dv.\tag{2.3}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{M^4}\psi_\alpha(u_0\Delta z_\alpha)dv\\&=\int_{M^4}(z_\alpha u_0-u_0b_\alpha)(u_0\Delta z_\alpha)dv\\&=-\int_{M^4}|\nabla(z_\alpha u_0)|^2dv.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any positive $\delta>0$, we obtain from (2.3)

$$\begin{aligned}&\lambda_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}\int_{M^4}|\nabla(z_\alpha u_0)|^2dv\\&=-\lambda_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}\int_{M^4}\psi_\alpha(u_0\Delta z_\alpha)dv\\&\le\frac{1}{2}\big(\delta\lambda_\alpha\int_{M^4}\psi_\alpha^2dv+\frac{1}{\delta}\int_{M^4}(u_0\Delta z_\alpha)^2dv\big)\end{aligned}$$

$$\tag{2.4}\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\lambda_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}\int_{M^4}|\nabla(z_\alpha u_0)|^2dv\\ &\leq\frac{1}{2}\big(\delta\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\lambda_\alpha\int_{M^4}\psi_\alpha^2dv+\frac{1}{\delta}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\int_{M^4}(u_0\Delta z_\alpha)^2dv\big)\\ &\leq\frac{1}{2}\big(\delta\int_{M^4}\big(16|H|^2+\frac{2}{3}R\big)u_0^2dv+\frac{1}{\delta}\int_{M^4}16|H|^2u_0^2dv\big).\end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to prove that, for any point and for any α,

$$|\nabla z_\alpha|^2=g(\nabla z_\alpha,\nabla z_\alpha)\leq 1.$$

Hence,

$$\tag{2.5}\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\lambda_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}|\nabla z_\alpha|^2\\ &\geq\sum_{i=1}^{4}\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}}|\nabla z_i|^2+\lambda_5^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{A=5}^{N}|\nabla z_A|^2\\ &=\sum_{i=1}^{4}\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}}|\nabla z_i|^2+\lambda_5^{\frac{1}{2}}(4-\sum_{j=1}^{4}|\nabla z_j|^2)\\ &\geq\sum_{i=1}^{4}\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}}|\nabla z_i|^2+\sum_{j=1}^{4}\lambda_j^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-|\nabla z_j|^2)\\ &\geq\sum_{j=1}^{4}\lambda_j^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

We obtain, by (2.4) and (2.5),

$$\sum_{j=1}^{4}\lambda_j^{\frac{1}{2}}\leq\frac{1}{2}\big(\delta\int_{M^4}\big(16|H|^2+\frac{2}{3}R\big)u_0^2dv+\frac{1}{\delta}\int_{M^4}16|H|^2u_0^2dv\big).$$

Taking

$$\frac{1}{\delta}=\sqrt{\frac{\int_{M^4}\big(16|H|^2+\frac{2}{3}R\big)u_0^2dv}{\int_{M^4}16|H|^2u_0^2dv}}$$

we have, because of $u_0=\sqrt{\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(M^4)}}$,

$$\tag{2.6}\sum_{j=1}^{4}\lambda_j^{\frac{1}{2}}\leq 4\frac{\sqrt{\int_{M^4}\big(16|H|^2+\frac{2}{3}R\big)dv\int_{M^4}|H|^2dv}}{\mathrm{vol}(M^4)}.$$

If the equality holds, we have

$$\lambda_1=\lambda_2=\cdots=\lambda_N,$$

$$\Delta(z_\alpha - b_\alpha) = -\sqrt{\lambda_5}\delta(z_\alpha - b_\alpha). \tag{2.7}$$

According to Takahashi's theorem, we know that M^4 is a round sphere $S^4(r)$ for $N = 5$ and M^4 is a minimal submanifold in a sphere $S^{N-1}(r)$ for $N > 5$ with $\sum_{\alpha=1}^N (z_\alpha - b_\alpha)^2 = r^2$. Thus, we have

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_N = \frac{16}{r^4\delta^2}.$$

From the definition of the Paneitz operator P_g, we have

$$P_g(z_\alpha - b_\alpha) = \Delta^2(z_\alpha - b_\alpha) - \operatorname{div}\big[(\frac{2}{3}Rg - 2\mathrm{Ric})\nabla(z_\alpha - b_\alpha)\big], \tag{2.8}$$

that is, from (2.7) and (2.8), we have

$$\lambda_5(1-\delta^2)(z_\alpha - b_\alpha) = -\operatorname{div}\big[(\frac{2}{3}Rg - 2\mathrm{Ric})\nabla(z_\alpha - b_\alpha)\big].$$

According to $\sum_{\alpha=1}^N (z_\alpha - b_\alpha)^2 = r^2$, we obtain

$$\lambda_5(1-\delta^2)r^2 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N g((\frac{2}{3}Rg - 2\mathrm{Ric})\nabla(z_\alpha - b_\alpha), \nabla(z_\alpha - b_\alpha)).$$

Hence,

$$\lambda_5(1-\delta^2)r^2 = \frac{2}{3}R.$$

Thus, the scalar curvature R is constant. Hence, M^4 is a compact minimal submanifold with constant scalar curvature in a sphere $S^{N-1}(r)$. This finishes the proof of theorem 1.1.

Proof of Corollary 1.1. Since the unit sphere $S^N(1)$ is a hypersurface in $\mathbf{R}^{N+1}$ with the mean curvature 1, M^4 can be seen as a compact submanifold in $\mathbf{R}^{N+1}$ with the mean curvature $\sqrt{|H|^2+1}$. According to the theorem 1.1, we complete the proof of the corollary 1.1.

3. EIGENVALUES OF THE PANEITZ OPERATOR ON M^n $(n \neq 4)$

Proof of theorem 1.2. Since $n > 4$, eigenvalues of the Paneitz operator P_g satisfy

$$\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \cdots, \lambda_k \leq \cdots \to +\infty.$$

Let u_i be an eigenfunction corresponding to eigenvalue λ_i such that $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ becomes an orthonormal basis of $L^2(M^n)$, that is,

$$\begin{cases} P_g u_i = \lambda_i u_i, \\ \int_{M^n} u_i u_j dv = \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \cdots. \end{cases}$$

We shall use the same idea to prove the theorem 1.2. But, in this case, we need to use the first eigenfunction u_1, which is not constant in general. Thus, we need to compute many formulas. We define an $N \times N$-matrix A as follows:

$$A := (a_{\alpha\beta})$$

where $a_{\alpha\beta} = \int_{M^n} y_\alpha u_1 u_{\beta+1} dv$, for $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \cdots, N$, and $\mathbf{y} = (y_\alpha)$ is the position vector of the immersion in $\mathbf{R}^N$. Thus, there is an orthogonal matrix $U = (q_{\alpha\beta})$ such that

$$\int_{M^n} z_\alpha u_1 u_{\beta+1} dv = 0, \quad 1 \leq \beta < \alpha \leq N,$$

where $z_\alpha = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{N} q_{\alpha\gamma} y_\gamma$. Putting

$$\varphi_\alpha := (z_\alpha - a_\alpha) u_1, \qquad a_\alpha := \int_{M^n} z_\alpha u_1^2 dv, \qquad 1 \leq \alpha \leq N,$$

we infer

$$\int_{M^n} \varphi_\alpha u_\beta dv = 0, \quad 1 \leq \beta \leq \alpha \leq N.$$

Thus, from the Rayleigh-Ritz inequality, we have

$$\lambda_{\alpha+1} \int_{M^n} \varphi_\alpha^2 dv \leq \int_{M^n} \varphi_\alpha P_g \varphi_\alpha dv, \quad 1 \leq \alpha \leq N. \tag{3.1}$$

$$P_g \varphi_\alpha = P_g(z_\alpha u_1) - a_\alpha P_g u_1 = P_g(z_\alpha u_1) - \lambda_1 a_\alpha u_1.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&P_g(z_\alpha u_1)\\
=&\Delta^2(z_\alpha u_1) - \operatorname{div}\big[(a_n R g + b_n \mathrm{Ric})\nabla(z_\alpha u_1)\big] + \frac{n-4}{2} Q(z_\alpha u_1)\\
=&\Delta^2 z_\alpha\ u_1 + 2\Delta z_\alpha \Delta u_1 + 2\Delta g(\nabla z_\alpha, \nabla u_1)\\
&+ 2g(\nabla z_\alpha, \nabla(\Delta u_1)) + z_\alpha \Delta^2 u_1 + 2g(\nabla(\Delta z_\alpha), \nabla u_1)\\
&- \operatorname{div}\big[u_1(a_n R g + b_n \mathrm{Ric})\nabla z_\alpha\big] - \operatorname{div}\big[z_\alpha(a_n R g + b_n \mathrm{Ric})\nabla u_1\big] + \frac{n-4}{2} Q(z_\alpha u_1)\\
=&\Delta^2 z_\alpha\ u_1 + 2\Delta z_\alpha \Delta u_1 + 2\Delta g(\nabla z_\alpha, \nabla u_1) + 2g(\nabla z_\alpha, \nabla(\Delta u_1)) + 2g(\nabla(\Delta z_\alpha), \nabla u_1)\\
&- \operatorname{div}\big[u_1(a_n R g + b_n \mathrm{Ric})\nabla z_\alpha\big] - g(\nabla z_\alpha, (a_n R g + b_n \mathrm{Ric})\nabla u_1) + z_\alpha P u_1\\
=&r_\alpha + \lambda_1 z_\alpha u_1
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
r_\alpha =& \Delta^2 z_\alpha\ u_1 + 2\Delta z_\alpha \Delta u_1 + 2\Delta g(\nabla z_\alpha, \nabla u_1)\\
&+ 2g(\nabla z_\alpha, \nabla(\Delta u_1)) + 2g(\nabla(\Delta z_\alpha), \nabla u_1)\\
&- \operatorname{div}\big[u_1(a_n R g + b_n \mathrm{Ric})\nabla z_\alpha\big] - g(\nabla z_\alpha, (a_n R g + b_n \mathrm{Ric})\nabla u_1).
\end{aligned}$$

According to the Stokes formula, we derive

$$\int_{M^n} r_\alpha u_1 dv = 0.$$

Letting

$$w_\alpha = \int_{M^n} r_\alpha \varphi_\alpha dv$$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{M^n} \varphi_\alpha P_g \varphi_\alpha dv &= \int_{M^n} \varphi_\alpha \big(P_g(z_\alpha u_1) - \lambda_1 a_\alpha u_1\big) dv \\ &= \int_{M^n} \varphi_\alpha \big(r_\alpha + \lambda_1 \varphi_\alpha\big) dv.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(\lambda_{\alpha+1} - \lambda_1) \int_{M^n} \varphi_\alpha^2 dv \le \int_{M^n} \varphi_\alpha r_\alpha dv = w_\alpha = \int_{M^n} z_\alpha u_1 r_\alpha dv, \quad 1 \le \alpha \le N. \tag{3.2}$$

By a direct calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&2\int_{M^n} z_\alpha u_1 g(\nabla(\Delta z_\alpha), \nabla u_1) dv = \int_{M^n} (\Delta z_\alpha)^2 u_1^2 dv \\ &+ \int_{M^n} \Delta z_\alpha g(\nabla z_\alpha, \nabla u_1^2) dv - \int_{M^n} (z_\alpha \Delta^2 z_\alpha) u_1^2 dv,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&2\int_{M^n} z_\alpha u_1 \Delta g(\nabla z_\alpha, \nabla u_1) dv = 2\int_{M^n} u_1 \Delta z_\alpha g(\nabla z_\alpha, \nabla u_1) dv \\ &+ 2\int_{M^n} z_\alpha \Delta u_1 g(\nabla z_\alpha, \nabla u_1) dv + 4\int_{M^n} g(\nabla z_\alpha, \nabla u_1)^2 dv\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&2\int_{M^n} z_\alpha u_1 g(\nabla z_\alpha, \nabla(\Delta u_1)) dv = -2\int_{M^n} u_1 z_\alpha \Delta z_\alpha \Delta u_1 dv \\ &- 2\int_{M^n} u_1 \Delta u_1 g(\nabla z_\alpha, \nabla z_\alpha) dv - 2\int_{M^n} z_\alpha g(\nabla z_\alpha, \nabla u_1) \Delta u_1 dv.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}&(\lambda_{\alpha+1} - \lambda_1) \int_{M^n} \varphi_\alpha^2 dv \le w_\alpha = \int_{M^n} z_\alpha u_1 r_\alpha dv \\ &= \int_{M^n} \big(u_1 \Delta z_\alpha + 2g(\nabla z_\alpha, \nabla u_1)\big)^2 dv + \int_{M^n} u_1^2 g\big((a_n R g + b_n \mathrm{Ric}) \nabla z_\alpha, \nabla z_\alpha\big) dv \\ &- 2\int_{M^n} g(\nabla z_\alpha, \nabla z_\alpha) u_1 \Delta u_1 dv, \quad 1 \le \alpha \le N.\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

From the lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\alpha=1}^N (\lambda_{\alpha+1} - \lambda_1) \int_{M^n} \varphi_\alpha^2 dv \\ &\le \int_{M^n} \big(n^2 |H|^2 + (n a_n + b_n) R\big) u_1^2 dv + 2(n+2) \int_{M^n} g(\nabla u_1, \nabla u_1) dv.\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{M^n}\varphi_\alpha\Big(u_1\Delta z_\alpha+2g(\nabla z_\alpha,\nabla u_1)\Big)dv\\ &=\int_{M^4}(z_\alpha-a_\alpha)u_1\Big(u_1\Delta z_\alpha+2g(\nabla z_\alpha,\nabla u_1)\Big)dv\\ &=-\int_{M^4}|u_1\nabla z_\alpha|^2dv.\end{aligned}\tag{3.5}$$

Therefore, for any positive $\delta>0$, we obtain, from (3.5),

$$\begin{aligned}&(\lambda_{\alpha+1}-\lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\int_{M^n}|u_1\nabla z_\alpha|^2dv\\ &=-(\lambda_{\alpha+1}-\lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\int_{M^n}\varphi_\alpha\Big(u_1\Delta z_\alpha+2g(\nabla z_\alpha,\nabla u_1)\Big)dv\\ &\le\frac{1}{2}\Big\{\delta(\lambda_{\alpha+1}-\lambda_1)\int_{M^n}\varphi_\alpha^2dv+\frac{1}{\delta}\int_{M^n}\Big(u_1\Delta z_\alpha+2g(\nabla z_\alpha,\nabla u_1)\Big)^2dv\Big\}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

According to (3.4) and (3.6), we infer

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\alpha=1}^N(\lambda_{\alpha+1}-\lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\int_{M^n}|u_1\nabla z_\alpha|^2dv\\ &\le\frac{1}{2}\Big\{\delta\sum_{\alpha=1}^N(\lambda_{\alpha+1}-\lambda_1)\int_{M^n}\varphi_\alpha^2dv+\frac{1}{\delta}\sum_{\alpha=1}^N\int_{M^n}\big(u_1\Delta z_\alpha+2g(\nabla z_\alpha,\nabla u_1)\big)^2dv\Big\}\\ &\le\frac{1}{2}\delta\Big\{\int_{M^n}\big(n^2|H|^2+(na_n+b_n)R\big)u_1^2dv+2(n+2)\int_{M^n}g(\nabla u_1,\nabla u_1)dv\Big\}\\ &+\frac{1}{2\delta}\Big\{\int_{M^n}n^2|H|^2u_1^2dv+4\int_{M^n}g(\nabla u_1,\nabla u_1)dv\Big\}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

By the same proof as the formula (2.5) in the section 2, we have

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^N(\lambda_{\alpha+1}-\lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}|\nabla z_\alpha|^2\ge\sum_{j=1}^n(\lambda_{j+1}-\lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\tag{3.8}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j=1}^n(\lambda_{j+1}-\lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\le\frac{1}{2}\delta\Big(\int_{M^n}\big(n^2|H|^2+(na_n+b_n)R\big)u_1^2dv+2(n+2)\int_{M^n}g(\nabla u_1,\nabla u_1)dv\Big)\\ &+\frac{1}{2\delta}\Big(\int_{M^n}n^2|H|^2u_1^2dv+4\int_{M^n}g(\nabla u_1,\nabla u_1)dv\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

Letting S denote the squared norm of the second fundamental form of M^n, from the Gauss equation, we have

$$R=n(n-1)|H|^2-(S-n|H|^2)\le n(n-1)|H|^2.$$

Since

$$na_n + b_n = \frac{n^2 - 2n - 4}{2(n-1)} > 0,$$

we have

$$n^2|H|^2 + (na_n + b_n)R \leq \frac{n(n^2-4)|H|^2}{2}.$$

Taking

$$\frac{1}{\delta} = \sqrt{\frac{\int_{M^n} n^2|H|^2u_1^2dv + 4\int_{M^n} g(\nabla u_1, \nabla u_1)dv}{\int_{M^n} \frac{n(n^2-4)|H|^2}{2}u_1^2dv + 2(n+2)\int_{M^n} g(\nabla u_1, \nabla u_1)dv}}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=1}^{n}(\lambda_{j+1} - \lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\int_{M^n} \frac{n(n^2-4)|H|^2}{2}u_1^2dv + 2(n+2)\int_{M^n} g(\nabla u_1, \nabla u_1)dv} \\ &\times \sqrt{\int_{M^n} n^2|H|^2u_1^2dv + 4\int_{M^n} g(\nabla u_1, \nabla u_1)dv} \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

If the equality holds, we have

$$\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \cdots = \lambda_N,$$

and $S \equiv n|H|^2$. Thus, M^n is totally umbilical, that is, M^n is isometric to a sphere. It completes the proof of the theorem 1.2.

Corollary 3.1. *Let (M^n, g) $(n > 4)$ be an n-dimensional compact submanifold with the metric g in the unit sphere $S^N(1)$. Then, eigenvalues of the Paneitz operator P_g satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=1}^{n}(\lambda_{j+1} - \lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\int_{M^n} \frac{n(n^2-4)(|H|^2+1)}{2}u_1^2dv + 2(n+2)\int_{M^n} g(\nabla u_1, \nabla u_1)dv} \\ &\times \sqrt{\int_{M^n} n^2(|H|^2+1)u_1^2dv + 4\int_{M^n} g(\nabla u_1, \nabla u_1)dv} \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

and the equality holds if and only if M^n is isometric to a sphere $S^n(r)$, where u_1 is the normalized first eigenfunction of P_g.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Since $n \neq 4$, we assume that eigenvalues of the Paneitz operator P_g satisfy

$$0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \cdots, \lambda_k \leq \cdots \to +\infty.$$

Let u_i be an eigenfunction corresponding to eigenvalue λ_i such that $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ becomes an orthonormal basis of $L^2(M^n)$, that is,

$$\begin{cases} P_g u_i = \lambda_i u_i, \\ \int_{M^n} u_i u_j dv = \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \cdots . \end{cases}$$

We shall use the similar method to prove the theorem 1.3. We define an $(N+1) \times (N+1)$-matrix A as follows:

$$A := (a_{\alpha\beta})$$

where $a_{\alpha\beta} = \int_{M^n} y_\alpha u_\beta dv$, for $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \cdots, N+1$, and $\mathbf{y} = (y_\alpha)$ is the position vector of the immersion in $\mathbf{R}^{N+1}$ with $|\mathbf{y}|^2 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} y_\alpha^2 = 1$. Thus, there is an orthogonal matrix $U = (q_{\alpha\beta})$ such that

$$\int_{M^n} z_\alpha u_\beta dv = 0, \quad 1 \leq \beta < \alpha \leq N+1,$$

where $z_\alpha = \sum\limits_{\gamma=1}^{N+1} q_{\alpha\gamma} y_\gamma$. Since U is an orthogonal matrix, we have

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} z_\alpha^2 = 1.$$

Putting

$$\psi_\alpha := z_\alpha, \qquad 1 \leq \alpha \leq N+1,$$

we infer

$$\int_{M^n} \psi_\alpha u_\beta dv = 0, \quad 1 \leq \beta < \alpha \leq N+1.$$

Thus, from the Rayleigh-Ritz inequality, we have

$$\lambda_\alpha \int_{M^n} \psi_\alpha^2 dv \leq \int_{M^n} \psi_\alpha P_g \psi_\alpha dv, \quad 1 \leq \alpha \leq N+1.$$

$$P_g \psi_\alpha = P_g(z_\alpha). \tag{3.12}$$

According to the Stokes formula, we derive

$$\int_{M^n} \psi_\alpha P_g \psi_\alpha dv = \int_{M^n} \Big[\big(\Delta z_\alpha\big)^2 + g((a_n R g + b_n \mathrm{Ric}) \nabla z_\alpha, \nabla z_\alpha) + \frac{n-4}{2} Q (z_\alpha)^2 \Big] dv$$

From the lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} \int_{M^n} \psi_\alpha P_g \psi_\alpha dv \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} \int_{M^n} \Big[\big(\Delta z_\alpha\big)^2 + g((a_n R g + b_n \mathrm{Ric}) \nabla z_\alpha, \nabla z_\alpha) + \frac{n-4}{2} Q (z_\alpha)^2 \Big] dv \\ &= \int_{M^n} \big((n^2 |H|^2 + n^2) + (n a_n + b_n) R + \frac{n-4}{2} Q \big) dv. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N+1}\lambda_\alpha\int_{M^n}\psi_\alpha^2dv\le\int_{M^n}\big((n^2|H|^2+n^2)+(na_n+b_n)R+\frac{n-4}{2}Q\big)dv. \tag{3.14}$$

On the other hand,

$$\int_{M^n}\psi_\alpha(\Delta z_\alpha)dv=\int_{M^n}z_\alpha\Delta z_\alpha dv=-\int_{M^n}|\nabla z_\alpha|^2dv. \tag{3.15}$$

Therefore, for any positive $\delta>0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\lambda_\alpha^{\frac12}\int_{M^n}|\nabla z_\alpha|^2dv\\&=-\lambda_\alpha^{\frac12}\int_{M^n}\psi_\alpha(\Delta z_\alpha)dv\\&\le\frac12\big(\delta\lambda_\alpha\int_{M^n}\psi_\alpha^2dv+\frac1\delta\int_{M^n}(\Delta z_\alpha)^2dv\big)\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N+1}\lambda_\alpha^{\frac12}\int_{M^n}|\nabla z_\alpha|^2dv\\&\le\frac12\big(\delta\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N+1}\lambda_\alpha\int_{M^n}\psi_\alpha^2dv+\frac1\delta\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N+1}\int_{M^n}(\Delta z_\alpha)^2dv\big)\\&\le\frac12\Big[\delta\int_{M^n}\big((n^2|H|^2+n^2)+(na_n+b_n)R+\frac{n-4}{2}Q\big)dv\\&+\frac1\delta\int_{M^n}(n^2|H|^2+n^2)dv\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

By using the same proof as the formula (2.5) in the section 2, we have

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N+1}\lambda_\alpha^{\frac12}|\nabla z_\alpha|^2\ge\sum_{j=1}^{n}\lambda_j^{\frac12}. \tag{3.18}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\lambda_j^{\frac12}\mathrm{vol}(M^n)\le\frac12\Big[&\delta\int_{M^n}\big((n^2|H|^2+n^2)+(na_n+b_n)R+\frac{n-4}{2}Q\big)dv\\&+\frac1\delta\int_{M^n}(n^2|H|^2+n^2)dv\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Taking

$$\frac1\delta=\sqrt{\frac{\int_{M^n}\big((n^2|H|^2+n^2)+(na_n+b_n)R+\frac{n-4}{2}Q\big)dv}{\int_{M^n}(n^2|H|^2+n^2)dv}}$$

we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n}\lambda_j^{\frac{1}{2}}\leq n\frac{\sqrt{\int_{M^n}\big((n^2|H|^2+n^2)+(na_n+b_n)R+\dfrac{n-4}{2}Q\big)dv\int_{M^n}(|H|^2+1)dv}}{\mathrm{vol}(M^n)}.$$

If the equality holds, we have

$$\lambda_2=\lambda_3=\cdots=\lambda_{N+1},$$

$|\nabla z_1|\equiv 1$ because of $\lambda_1<\lambda_2$ and

$$\Delta z_1=-\sqrt{\lambda_1}\delta z_1,\quad \Delta z_\alpha=-\sqrt{\lambda_n}\delta z_\alpha\ \text{ for }\alpha>1.$$

Since

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N+1}z_\alpha^2=1,$$

we have

$$n-\sqrt{\lambda_n}\delta+(\sqrt{\lambda_n}\delta-\sqrt{\lambda_1}\delta)z_1^2=0.$$

Thus,

$$\sqrt{\lambda_n}\delta=\sqrt{\lambda_1}\delta$$

or z_1^2 is constant. It is impossible because $|\nabla z_1|\equiv 1$ and $\lambda_1<\lambda_2$. Therefore, the equality does not hold. It completes the proof of the theorem 1.3.

References

[1] Branson T. P., Group representations arising from Lorentz conformal geometry, J. Funct. Anal., **74** (1987), 199-291.

[2] Branson T. P., Chang S.-Y. A. and Yang P. C., Estimates and extremal for zeta function determinants on four-manifolds, Comm. Math. Phys., **149** (1992), 241-262.

[3] Chang S.-Y.A., Conformal invariants and partial differential equations, Bull. Am. Math. Soc., **42** (2005), 365-393.

[4] Chang S.-Y.A. and Yang P. C., Extremal metrics of zeta function determinants on 4-manifolds, Ann. of Math., **142** (1995), 171-212.

[5] Chang S.-Y. A., Hang F. and Yang P. C., On a class of locally conformally flat manifolds, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2004, 185-209.

[6] Chang S.-Y. A., Gursky M. J. and Yang P. C., Regularity of a fourth order nonlinear PDE with critical exponent, Amer J. Math., **121** (1999), 215-257.

[7] Chen D. G. and Cheng Q. -M., Extrinsic estimates for eigenvalues of the Laplace operator, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **60** (2008), 325-339.

[8] Chen D. G. and Li H., The sharp estimates for the first eigenvalue of Paneitz Operator on 4-dimensional submanifolds, arXiv:11010. 3102, 2010.

[9] Chen D. G. and Li H., Second eigenvalue of Paneitz operator and the mean curvature, Comm. Math. Phys., **305** (2011), 555-562.

[10] Gursky M. J. , The Weyl functional, de Rham cohomology, and Kähler-Einstein metrics, Ann. of Math., **148** (1998), 315-337.

[11] Gursky M. J., The principal eigenvalue of a conformally invariant differential operator, with an application to semilinear elliptic PDE, Comm. Math. Phys., **207** (1999), 131-143.

[12] Hebey E. and Robert F., Coercivity and Struwefs compactness for Paneitz type operators with constant coefficients, Calculus of variations and PDEs, **13** (2001), no. 4, 491?517.

[13] Li P. and Yau S.-T., A new conformal invariant and its application to the Willmore conjecture and the first eigenvlalue of compact surfaces, Invent. Math., **69** (1982), 269-291.
[14] Nishikawa S., Conformal variation of the total Q-curvature, Geometry and Something 2011, Fukuoka, 125-133.
[15] Paneitz S., A quartic conformally covariant differential operator for arbitrary pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, Preprint, 1983.
[16] Xu X. W. and Yang P. C., Positivity of Paneitz operators, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, **7** (2001), 329-342.
[17] Xu X. W. and Yang P. C., Conformal energy in four dimension, Math. Ann. **324** (2002), 731-742.

Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences,
Fukuoka University, Fukuoka 814-0180, Japan
cheng@fukuoka-u.ac.jp